arXiv:1511.07621v1 [math.DG] 24 Nov 2015

Dupin Hypersurfaces in Lorentzian Space forms

Tongzhu Li[a,b], **Changxiong Nie**[c]

a Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology,

Beijing,China,100081, E-mail:litz@bit.edu.cn.

b Beijing Key Laboratory on MCAACI, Beijing,China,100081.

c Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Hubei University,

Wuhan, China, 430062, E-mail:nie.hubu@yahoo.com.cn.

Abstract

Similar to the definition of Dupin hypersurface in Riemannian space forms, we define the spacelike Dupin hypersurface in Lorentzian space forms. As conformal invariant objects, spacelike Dupin hypersurfaces are studied in this paper using the framework of conformal geometry. Further we classify the spacelike Dupin hypersurfaces with constant Möbius curvatures, which are the partition ratio of the principal curvatures of the spacelike Dupin hypersurface.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53A30, 53C50.

Key words: Dupin hypersurface, Principal curvatures, Möbius curvatures.

1 Introduction

Since Dupin surfaces were first studied by Dupin in 1822, the study of Dupin hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has been a topic of increasing interest, (see [2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19]), especially recently. In this paper we study Dupin hypersurfaces in the Lorentzian space form $M_1^{n+1}(c)$.

Let $\mathbb{R}_s^{n+2}$ be the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ with the Lorentzian product $\langle , \rangle_s$ given by

$$\langle X, Y\rangle_s = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_i y_i + \sum_{j=t+1}^{n+2} x_j y_j.$$

For any $a > 0$, the standard sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(a)$, the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(-a)$, the de sitter space $\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}(a)$ and the anti-de sitter space $\mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}(-a)$ are defined by

$$\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} | x \cdot x = a^2\}, \quad \mathbb{H}^{n+1}(-a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} | \langle x, x\rangle_1 = -a^2\},$$
$$\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} | \langle x, x\rangle_1 = a^2\}, \quad \mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}(-a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_2^{n+2} | \langle x, x\rangle_2 = -a^2\}.$$

Let $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a Lorentz space form. When $c = 0$, $M_1^{n+1}(c) = \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$; When $c = 1$, $M_1^{n+1}(c) = \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}(1)$, When $c = -1$, $M_1^{n+1}(c) = \mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}(-1)$.

Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike hypersurface in the Lorentzian space form $M_1^{n+1}(c)$. A curvature surface of M^n is a smooth connected submanifold S such that for each point $p \in S$, the tangent space T_pS is equal to a principal space of the shape operator $\mathcal{A}$ of M^n at p. The hypersurface M^n is called Dupin hypersurface if, along each curvature surface, the associated principal curvature is constant. The Dupin hypersurface M^n is called proper Dupin if the number r of distinct principal curvatures is constant on M^n. The simple examples of spacelike Dupin hypersurface are the isoparametric hypersurfaces in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$, which are classified (see [7, 11, 21]).

Similar to the Dupin hypersurfaces in Riemannian space forms, the Spacelike Dupin hypersurfaces in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ are invariant under the conformal transformations of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$. Using Pinkall's method of constructed Dupin hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ([17]), we can use the basic constructions of building cylinders and cones over a Dupin hypersurface W^{n-1} in $\mathbb{R}_1^n$ with $r-1$ principal curvatures to get a Dupin hypersurface W^{n-1+k} in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+k}$ with r principal curvatures. Therefore we show that, given any positive integers $m_1, \cdots, m_r$ such that they sum to n, there exists a proper Dupin hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ with r distinct principal curvatures having respective multiplicities $m_1, \cdots, m_r$. In general, these construction are local.

When the spacelike hypersurface M^n has $r(\geq 3)$ distinct principal curvatures $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r$, the Möbius curvatures are defined by

$$\mathbb{M}_{ijs} = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_s}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n.$$

The Möbius curvatures $\mathbb{M}_{ijs}$ are invariant under the conformal transformations of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ (see section 2). Our main results are as follows,

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ with two distinct principal curvatures. Then locally x is conformally equivalent to one of the following hypersurfaces,*
(1), $\mathbb{S}^k(\sqrt{a^2+1}) \times \mathbb{H}^{n-k}(-a) \subset \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}, \quad a > 0, \ 1 \leq k \leq n;$
(2), $\mathbb{H}^k(-a) \times \mathbb{H}^{n-k}(-\sqrt{1-a^2}) \subset \mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}, \quad 0 < a < 1, \ 1 \leq k \leq n;$
(3), $\mathbb{H}^k(a) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}, \quad a > 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq n.$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ with $r(\geq 3)$ distinct principal curvatures. If the Möbius curvatures are constant, then $r = 3$, and locally x is conformally equivalent to the following hypersurface,*

$$x : \mathbb{H}^q(\sqrt{a^2-1}) \times \mathbb{S}^p(a) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p-q-1} \to \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1},$$

defined by

$$x(u', u'', t, u''') = (tu', tu'', u'''),$$

where $u' \in \mathbb{H}^q(\sqrt{a^2-1}), u'' \in \mathbb{S}^p(a), u''' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p-q-1}, \quad a > 1.$

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we define some conformal invariants on a spacelike hypersurface and show that Möbius curvatures are invariant under the conformal transformations of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$. In section 3, we study the spacelike Dupin hypersurfaces in the framework of conformal geometry. In section 4 and section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, respectively.

2 Conformal geometry of Hypersurface in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$

In this section, following Wang's idea in paper [20], we define some conformal invariants on a spacelike hypersurface and give a congruent theorem of the spacelike hypersurfaces under the conformal group of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$.

We denote by C^{n+2} the cone in $\mathbb{R}_2^{n+3}$ and by $\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$ the conformal compactification space in $\mathbb{R}P^{n+3}$,

$$C^{n+2} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}_2^{n+3} | \langle X, X \rangle_2 = 0, X \neq 0\},$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1} = \{[X] \in \mathbb{R}P^{n+2} | \langle X, X \rangle_2 = 0\}.$$

Let $O(n+3,2)$ be the Lorentzian group of $\mathbb{R}_2^{n+3}$ keeping the Lorentzian product $\langle X,Y\rangle_2$ invariant. Then $O(n+3,2)$ is a transformation group on $\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$ defined by

$$T([X])=[XT],\quad X\in C^{n+2},\quad T\in O(n+3,2).$$

Topologically $\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$ is identified with the compact space $S^n\times S^1/S^0$, which is endowed by a standard Lorentzian metric $h=g_{S^n}\oplus(-g_{S^1})$. Then $\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$ has conformal metric

$$[h]=\{e^{\tau}h|\tau\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1})\}$$

and $[O(n+3,2)]$ is the conformal transformation group of $\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$(see[1, 5, 15]).

Denote $\pi=\{[X]\in\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}|x_1=x_{n+2}\}$, $\pi_-=\{[X]\in\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}|x_{n+2}=0\}$, $\pi_+=\{[X]\in\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}|x_1=0\}$, we can define the following conformal diffeomorphisms,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_0:\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}\to\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}\backslash\pi,\qquad u\mapsto[(\tfrac{<u,u>+1}{2},u,\tfrac{<u,u>-1}{2})],\\ &\sigma_1:\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}(1)\to\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}\backslash\pi_+,\qquad u\mapsto[(1,u)],\\ &\sigma_{-1}:\mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}(-1)\to\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}\backslash\pi_-,\qquad u\mapsto[(u,1)].\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

We may regard $\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$ as the common compactification of $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1},\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}(1),\mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}(-1)$.

Let $x:M^n\to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike hypersurface. Using σ_c, we obtain the hypersurface in $\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$, $\sigma_c\circ x:M^n\to\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$. From [1], we have the following theorem,

Theorem 2.1. *Two hypersurfaces $x,\bar{x}:M^n\to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ are conformally equivalent if and only if there exists $T\in O(n+3,2)$ such that $\sigma_c\circ x=T(\sigma_c\circ\bar{x}):M^n\to\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$.*

Since $x:M^n\to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ is a spacelike hypersurface, then $(\sigma_c\circ x)_*(TM^n)$ is a positive definite subbundle of $T\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$. For any local lift Z of the standard projection $\pi:C^{n+2}\to\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$, we get a local lift $y=Z\circ\sigma_c\circ x:U\to C^{n+1}$ of $\sigma_c\circ x:M\to\mathbb{Q}_1^{n+1}$ in an open subset U of M^n. Thus $<dy,dy>=\lambda^2dx\cdot dx$ is a local metric, which is conformal to the induced metric $dx\cdot dx$. We denote by Δ and κ the Laplacian operator and the normalized scalar curvature with respect to the local positive definite metric $\langle \mathrm{d}y,\mathrm{d}y\rangle$, respectively. Similar to Wang's proof of Theorem 1.2 in [20], we can get the following theorem,

Theorem 2.2. *Let $x:M^n\to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike hypersurface, then the 2-form $g=-(\langle\Delta y,\Delta y\rangle-n^2\kappa)\langle dy,dy\rangle$ is a globally defined conformal invariant. Moreover, g is positive definite at any non-umbilical point of M^n.*

We call g the conformal metric of hypersurface x. There exists a unique lift

$$Y : M \to C^{n+2}$$

such that $g =< dY, dY >$. We call Y the conformal position vector of x. Theorem 2.2 implies that

Theorem 2.3. *Two spacelike hypersurfaces $x, \bar{x} : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ are conformally equivalent if and only if there exists $T \in O(n+3,2)$ such that $\bar{Y} = YT$, where $Y, \tilde{Y}$ are the conformal position vector of $x, \bar{x}$, respectively.*

Let $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of M^n with respect to g with dual basis $\{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n\}$. Denote $Y_i = E_i(Y)$ and define

$$N = -\frac{1}{n}\Delta Y - \frac{1}{2n^2}\langle \Delta Y, \Delta Y\rangle Y, \tag{2.2}$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator of g, then we have

$$\langle N, Y\rangle = 1,\ \langle N, N\rangle = 0,\ \langle N, Y_k\rangle = 0,\ \langle Y_i, Y_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \le i, j, k \le n. \tag{2.3}$$

We may decompose $\mathbb{R}_2^{n+3}$ such that

$$\mathbb{R}_2^{n+3} = \mathrm{span}\{Y, N\} \oplus \mathrm{span}\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_n\} \oplus \mathbb{V},$$

where $\mathbb{V} \perp \mathrm{span}\{Y, N, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n\}$. We call $\mathbb{V}$ the conformal normal bundle of x, which is linear bundle. Let ξ be a local section of $\mathbb{V}$ and $< \xi, \xi >= -1$, then $\{Y, N, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n, \xi\}$ forms a moving frame in $\mathbb{R}_2^{n+3}$ along M^n. We write the structure equations as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}Y &= \sum_i \omega_i Y_i,\\
\mathrm{d}N &= \sum_{ij} A_{ij}\omega_j Y_i + \sum_i C_i \omega_i \xi,\\
\mathrm{d}Y_i &= -\sum_{ij} A_{ij}\omega_j Y - \omega_i N + \sum_j \omega_{ij} Y_j + \sum_{ij} B_{ij}\omega_j \xi,\\
\mathrm{d}\xi &= \sum_i C_i \omega_i Y + \sum_{ij} B_{ij}\omega_j Y_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ij}$ are the connection 1-forms on M^n with respect to $\{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n\}$. It is clear that $A = \sum_{ij} A_{ij}\omega_j \otimes \omega_i,\ B = \sum_{ij} B_{ij}\omega_j \otimes \omega_i,\ C = \sum_i C_i \omega_i$ are globally defined

conformal invariants. We call $A,\ B$ and C the conformal 2-tensor, the conformal second fundamental form and the conformal 1-form, respectively. The covariant derivatives of these tensors with respect to g are defined by:

$$\sum_j C_{i,j}\omega_j = dC_i + \sum_k C_k\omega_{kj},$$

$$\sum_k A_{ij,k}\omega_k = dA_{ij} + \sum_k A_{ik}\omega_{kj} + \sum_k A_{kj}\omega_{ki},$$

$$\sum_k B_{ij,k}\omega_k = dB_{ij} + \sum_k B_{ik}\omega_{kj} + \sum_k B_{kj}\omega_{ki},$$

By exterior differentiation of structure equations (2.4), we can get the integrable conditions of the structure equations

$$A_{ij} = A_{ji}, \quad B_{ij} = B_{ji},$$

$$A_{ij,k} - A_{ik,j} = B_{ij}C_k - B_{ik}C_j, \tag{2.5}$$

$$B_{ij,k} - B_{ik,j} = \delta_{ij}C_k - \delta_{ik}C_j, \tag{2.6}$$

$$C_{i,j} - C_{j,i} = \sum_k (B_{ik}A_{kj} - B_{jk}A_{ki}), \tag{2.7}$$

$$R_{ijkl} = B_{il}B_{jk} - B_{ik}B_{jl} + A_{ik}\delta_{jl} + A_{jl}\delta_{ik} - A_{il}\delta_{jk} - A_{jk}\delta_{il}. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{tr}(A) = \frac{1}{2n}(n^2\kappa - 1), \quad R_{ij} = \mathrm{tr}(A)\delta_{ij} + (n-2)A_{ij} + \sum_k B_{ik}B_{kj}, \\ &(1-n)C_i = \sum_j B_{ij,j}, \quad \sum_{ij} B_{ij}^2 = \frac{n-1}{n}, \quad \sum_i B_{ii} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where κ is the normalized scalar curvature of g. From (2.9), we see that when $n \geq 3$, all coefficients in the structure equations are determined by the conformal metric g and the conformal second fundamental form B, thus we get the following conformal congruent theorem,

Theorem 2.4. *Two spacelike hypersurfaces $x, \bar{x} : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)(n \geq 3)$ are conformally equivalent if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : M^n \to M^n$ which preserves the conformal metric and the conformal second fundamental form.*

Next we give the relations between the conformal invariants and isometric invariants of $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$.

First we consider the spacelike hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal local basis for the induced metric $I =< dx, dx >$ with dual basis $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n\}$. Let e_{n+1} be a normal vector field of x , and $< e_{n+1}, e_{n+1} >= -1$. Then we have the first and second fundamental forms I, II and the mean curvature H, $I = \sum_i \theta_i \otimes \theta_i,\ II = \sum_{ij} h_{ij}\theta_i \otimes \theta_j,\ H = \frac{1}{n}\sum_i h_{ii}$. Denote Δ_M the Laplacian and κ_M the normalized scalar curvature for I. By structure equation and Gauss equation of $x : M^n \to \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ we get that

$$\Delta_M x = nHe_{n+1}, \quad \kappa_M = \frac{-1}{n(n-1)}(n^2|H|^2 - |II|^2). \tag{2.10}$$

For $x : M^n \to \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$, there is a lift

$$y : M^n \to C^{n+2}, \quad y = (\frac{< x, x > +1}{2}, x, \frac{< x, x > -1}{2}).$$

It follows from (2.10) that

$$\langle \Delta Y, \Delta Y \rangle - n^2\kappa = \frac{n}{n-1}(-|II|^2 + n|H|^2) = -e^{2\tau}.$$

Therefore the conformal metric and conformal position vector of x

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{n}{n-1}(|II|^2 - n|H|^2) < \mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}x >:= e^{2\tau} I, \\ Y &= \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}(|II|^2 - n|H|^2)}(\frac{< x, x > +1}{2}, x, \frac{< x, x > -1}{2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Let $E_i = e^{-\tau}e_i$, then $\{E_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ are the local orthonormal basis for g, and with the dual basis $\omega_i = e^{\tau}\theta_i$. Let

$$y_i = (< x, e_i >, e_i, < x, e_i)), \quad y_{n+1} = (< x, e_{n+1} >, e_{n+1}, < x, e_{n+1} >).$$

By some calculations we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Y = e^{\tau}y, \quad Y_i = e^{\tau}(\tau_i y + y_i), \quad \xi = -Hy + y_{n+1}, \\ -e^{\tau}N = \frac{1}{2}(|\nabla\tau|^2 - |H|^2)y + \sum_i \tau_i y_i + Hy_{n+1} + (1, \vec{0}, 1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where $\tau_i = e_i(\tau)$ and $|\nabla\tau|^2 = \sum_i \tau_i^2$. By a direct calculation we get the following expression of the conformal invariants A, B, C:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{ij} &= e^{-2\tau}[\tau_i\tau_j - h_{ij}H - \tau_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2}(-|\nabla\tau|^2 + |H|^2)\delta_{ij}],\\
B_{ij} &= e^{-\tau}(h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij}),\\
C_i &= e^{-2\tau}(H\tau_i - H_i - \sum_j h_{ij}\tau_j),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

where $\tau_{i,j}$ is the Hessian of τ for I and $H_i = e_i(H)$.

Using the same methods we can obtain relations between the conformal invariants and isometric invariants of $x : M^n \to \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}(1)$ and $x : M^n \to \mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}(-1)$. We have the following unitied expression of the conformal invariants A, B, C:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{ij} &= e^{-2\tau}[\tau_i\tau_j - \tau_{i,j} - h_{ij}H + \frac{1}{2}(-|\nabla\tau|^2 + |H|^2 + \epsilon)\delta_{ij}],\\
B_{ij} &= e^{-\tau}(h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij}),\\
C_i &= e^{-2\tau}(H\tau_i - H_i - \sum_j h_{ij}\tau_j),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}
$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ for $x : M^n \to S_1^{n+1}(1)$, and $\epsilon = -1$ for $x : M^n \to H_1^{n+1}(-1)$.

Let $\{b_1, \cdots, b_n\}$ be the eigenvalues of the conformal second fundamental form B, which are called conformal principal curvatures. Let $\{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n\}$ be the principal curvatures. From (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$
b_i = e^{-\tau}(\lambda_i - H), \quad i = 1, \cdots, n. \tag{2.15}
$$

Clearly the number of distinct conformal principal curvatures is the same as that of principal curvatures of x. Further, from equations (2.15), the Möbius curvatures

$$
\mathbb{M}_{ijk} = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} = \frac{b_i - b_j}{b_i - b_k}, \tag{2.16}
$$

Combining equations (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16), we have,

Proposition 2.1. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike hypersurface. Then the principal vectors and the conformal principal curvatures are invariant under the conformal transformations of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$. In particular, the Möbius curvatures are invariant under the conformal transformations of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$.*

It is then rather easily seen from (2.13) and (2.14) that, if all conformal principal curvatures $\{b_i\}$ are constant, then Möbius curvatures $\mathbb{M}_{ijk}$ are constant for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. Vice versa,

Proposition 2.2. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike hypersurface with $r(\geq 3)$ distinct principal curvatures. Then the Möbius curvatures $\mathbb{M}_{ijk}$ are constant if and only if the conformal principal curvatures $\{b_1, \cdots, b_n\}$ are constant.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the Möbius curvatures $\mathbb{M}_{ijk}$ are constant implies all conformal principal curvatures b_i are constant. First, for any tangent vector $X \in TM^n$, it is not hard to calculate that

$$\frac{X(b_i) - X(b_j)}{b_i - b_j} = \frac{X(b_i) - X(b_k)}{b_i - b_k} = \frac{X(b_j) - X(b_k)}{b_j - b_k}$$

from $\mathbb{M}_{ijk}$ being constant for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. Hence there exist μ and d such that

$$X(b_j) = \mu b_j + d \quad \text{for } j = 1, \cdots, n. \tag{2.17}$$

It is then immediate that (2.9) implies $d = 0$ and $b_1 X(b_1) + \cdots + b_n X(b_n) = 0$, which implies $\mu = 0$. Thus all $b_1, \cdots, b_n$ are constant. □

3 Spacelike Dupin hypersurfaces in Lorentzian space forms

Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$. For a principal curvature λ, we have principal space $\mathbb{D}_\lambda = \{X \in TM^n | \mathbb{A}X = \lambda X\}$. Then the spacelike hypersurface is Dupin if and only if $X(\lambda) = 0, X \in \mathbb{D}_\lambda$ for every principal curvature λ. The simple example of spacelike Dupin hypersurface is the following isoparametric hypersurface in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$,

Example 3.1. $\mathbb{H}^k(-a) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}, \quad a > 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$

Example 3.2. $\mathbb{S}^k(\sqrt{1+a^2}) \times \mathbb{H}^{n-k}(-a) \subset \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}, \quad a > 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$

Example 3.3. $\mathbb{H}^k(-a) \times \mathbb{H}^{n-k}(-\sqrt{1-a^2}) \subset \mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}, \quad 0 < a < 1, \ 1 \leq k \leq n.$

In fact, these spacelike isoparametric hypersurfaces are all spacelike isoparametric hypersurfaces in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ (see [7, 11, 21]). The following theorem confirm that the spacelike Dupin hypersurface is conformally invariant.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface, and $\phi : M_1^{n+1}(c) \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ a conformal transformation. Then $\phi \circ x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ is a spacelike Dupin hypersurface.*

Proof. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n\}$ denote its principal curvature, and $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$ be the orthonormal basis for TM^n with the induced metric, consisting of unit principal vectors. Therefore $\{E_1 = e^{\tau}e_1, E_2 = e^{\tau}e_2, \cdots, E_n = e^{\tau}e_n\}$ is the orthonormal basis for TM^n with respect to the conformal metric g, and $\{b_1 = e^{-\tau}(\lambda_1 - H), \cdots, b_n = e^{-\tau}(\lambda_n - H)\}$ are the conformal principal curvatures. From (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_i &= e^{-\tau}(-e^{-\tau}H_i + \sum_j (h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij})(e^{-\tau})_j) \\ &= e^{-\tau}(-e^{-\tau}H_i + \sum_j e_j((h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij})e^{-\tau}) - e^{-\tau}\sum_j e_j(h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij})) \\ &= e^{-\tau}(\sum_j e_j(B_{ij}) - \sum_j e^{-\tau}He_j(h_{ij})) \\ &= E_i(b_i) - e^{-\tau}E_i(\lambda_i). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Noting that the principal vectors are conformal invariants, Therefore x is Dupin if and only if $C_i = E_i(b_i)$, which is invariant under the conformal transformation of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$. □

From equation (3.18) and Proposition 2.2, the spacelike Dupin hypersurfaces with constant Möbius curvatures can be completely characterized in terms of Möbius invariants, namely,

Theorem 3.2. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface with $r(\geq 3)$ distinct principal curvatures. Then the Möbius curvatures are constant if and only if the conformal 1-form vanishes and the conformal principal curvatures are constant.*

As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, one easily derives

Corollary 3.1. *A spacelike Dupin hypersurface with constant Möbius curvatures is always proper.*

Like as Pinkall's method in [17], we construct a new spacelike Dupin hypersurface from a spacelike Dupin hypersurface.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $u : M^k \to \mathbb{R}_1^{k+1}$ be an immersed spacelike hypersurface. The cylinder over u is defined as following*

$$x : M^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \to \mathbb{R}_1^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} = \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}, \quad x(p, y) = (u(p), y).$$

If u is a Dupin hypersurface, then cylinder x is a spacelike Dupin hypersurface.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $u : M^k \to \mathbb{S}_1^{k+1}$ be an immersed spacelike hypersurface. The cone over u is defined as following*

$$x : M^k \times R^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k-1} \to \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}, \quad x(p, t, y) = (tu(p), y).$$

If u is a Dupin hypersurface, then cone x is a spacelike Dupin hypersurface.

In general, these constructions introduce a new principal curvature of multiplicity $n-k$ which is constant along its curvature surface. The other principal curvatures are determined by the principal curvatures of M^k, and the Dupin property is preserved for these principal curvatures. Using these constructions we have the following result,

Theorem 3.3. *Given positive integers $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_r$ with*

$$v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_r = n.$$

there exists a proper spacelike Dupin hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ with r distinct principal curvatures having respective multiplicities $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_r$.

Next we give the spacelike Dupin hypersurface which is not isoparametric in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$.

Example 3.4. *Let R^+ be the half line of positive real numbers. For any two given natural numbers p, q with $p+q < n$ and a real number $a > 1$, consider the hypersurface of warped product embedding*

$$x : \mathbb{H}^q(\sqrt{a^2-1}) \times \mathbb{S}^p(a) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p-q-1} \to \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1},$$

defined by

$$x(u', u'', t, u''') = (tu', tu'', u'''),$$

where $u' \in \mathbb{H}^q(\sqrt{a^2-1}), u'' \in \mathbb{S}^p(a), u''' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p-q-1}$.

Let $b = \sqrt{a^2-1}$. One of the normal vector of x can be taken as

$$e_{n+1} = (\frac{a}{b}u', \frac{b}{a}u'', 0).$$

The first and second fundamental form of x are given by

$$I = t^2(< du', du' > + du'' \cdot du'') + dt \cdot dt + du''' \cdot du''',$$

$$II = - < dx, de_{n+1} >= -t(\frac{a}{b} < du', du' > + \frac{b}{a} du'' \cdot du'').$$

Thus the mean curvature of x

$$H = \frac{-pb^2 - qa^2}{nabt},$$

and $e^{2\tau} = \frac{n}{n-1}[\sum_{ij} h_{ij}^2 - nH^2] = \frac{p(n-p)b^4 - 2pqa^2b^2 + q(n-q)a^4}{(n-1)t^2} := \frac{c^2}{t^2}$.

From (2.12) and (2.14), the conformal 1-form $C = 0$, and the conformal metric and the conformal second fundamental form of x are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g &= c^2 < du', du' > + c^2 du'' \cdot du'' + \frac{c^2}{t^2}(dt \cdot dt + du''' \cdot du''') = g_1 + g_2 + g_3, \\ (B_{ij}) &= (\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_1}_{q}, \underbrace{b_2, \cdots, b_2}_{p}, \underbrace{b_3, \cdots, b_3}_{n-p-q}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where $b_1 = \frac{pb^2 - (n-q)a^2}{nabc}$, $b_2 = \frac{qa^2 - (n-p)b^2}{nabc}$, $b_3 = \frac{pb^2 + qa^2}{nabc}$.

Therefore the embedding hypersurface x is a spacelike Dupin hypersurface with three constant conformal principal curvatures.

Furthermore, the sectional curvatures of $(\mathbb{H}^q(\sqrt{a^2-1}), g_1)$, $(\mathbb{S}^p(a), g_2)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p-q-1}, g_3)$ are constant.

4 The proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike hypersurface without umbilical points. If conformal invariants of x satisfy $C = 0$, and $A = \mu B + \lambda g$ for some constant μ, λ. Then x is conformally equivalent to a spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature.*

Proof. Since $C = 0$, From (2.7), we can take the local orthonormal basis $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ such that

$$(B_{ij}) = diag(b_1, \cdots, b_n), \quad (A_{ij}) = diag(a_1, \cdots, a_n). \tag{4.20}$$

Since $A = \mu B + \lambda g$, from structure equations (2.4) we get that

$$dN - \lambda dY - \mu d\xi = 0$$

and

$$d(N - \lambda Y - \mu\xi) = 0.$$

Therefore we can find a constant vector $e \in \mathbb{R}_2^{n+3}$ such that

$$N - \lambda Y - \mu\xi = e. \tag{4.21}$$

From (2) and (4.21) we get that

$$< e, e >= \mu^2 - 2\lambda, \quad < Y, e >= 1.$$

To prove the Theorem we consider the following three cases,

Case 1 e is lightlike, i.e., $\mu^2 - 2\lambda = 0$,

Case 2 e is spacelike, i.e., $\mu^2 - 2\lambda > 0$,

Case 3 e is timelike, i.e., $\mu^2 - 2\lambda < 0$.

First we consider **Case 1,** e is lightlike, i.e., $\mu^2 - 2\lambda = 0$. Then there exists a $T \in O(n+3, 2)$ such that

$$\bar{e} = (-1, \vec{0}, 1) = eT = (N - \lambda Y - \mu\xi)T.$$

Let $\bar{x} : M^n \to \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface which its conformal position vector is $\bar{Y} = YT$, then $\bar{N} = NT, \bar{\xi} = \xi T$, and

$$\bar{e} = \bar{N} - \lambda\bar{Y} - \mu\bar{\xi}, \quad < \bar{Y}, \bar{e} >= 1, \quad < \bar{\xi}, \bar{e} >= -\mu. \tag{4.22}$$

Writing

$$\bar{Y} = e^{\bar{\tau}}(\frac{<\bar{x},\bar{x}>+1}{2}, \bar{x}, \frac{<\bar{x},\bar{x}>-1}{2}), \quad \bar{\xi} = -\bar{H}(\frac{<\bar{x},\bar{x}>+1}{2}, \bar{x}, \frac{<\bar{x},\bar{x}>-1}{2}) + \bar{y}_{n+1},$$

then from (2.13) and (4.22), we obtain that

$$e^{\bar{\tau}} = 1, \quad \bar{H} = \mu.$$

Since $\bar{Y} = (\frac{<\bar{x},\bar{x}>+1}{2}, \bar{x}, \frac{<\bar{x},\bar{x}>-1}{2})$, then $g =< d\bar{x}, d\bar{x} >= \bar{I}$. From (2.9) we have

$$tr(A) = n\lambda = \frac{1}{2n}(n^2\kappa - 1).$$

Since $\kappa_M = \kappa$, so the mean curvature and scalar curvature of hypersurface $\bar{x}$ are constant.

Next we consider **Case 2,** e is spacelike, i.e., $\mu^2 - 2\lambda > 0$. Then there exists a $T \in O(n+3,2)$ such that

$$\bar{e} = (\vec{0}, \sqrt{\mu^2 - 2\lambda}) = eT = (N - \lambda Y - \mu\xi)T.$$

Let $\bar{x} : M^n \to \mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}(-1)$ be a hypersurface which its conformal position vector is $\bar{Y} = YT$, then $\bar{N} = NT, \bar{\xi} = \xi T$, and

$$\bar{e} = \bar{N} - \lambda\bar{Y} - \mu\bar{\xi}, \quad < \bar{Y}, \bar{e} >= 1, \quad < \bar{\xi}, \bar{e} >= -\mu. \tag{4.23}$$

Writing $\bar{Y} = e^{\bar{\tau}}(\bar{x}, 1), \quad \bar{\xi} = -\bar{H}(\bar{x}, 1) + \bar{y}_{n+1}$, then from (2.14) and (4.23), we obtain that

$$e^{\bar{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 2\lambda}}, \quad \bar{H} = \mu.$$

Since $< d\bar{x}, d\bar{x} >= (\mu^2 - 2\lambda)g$, so $\kappa_M = \frac{1}{\mu^2 - 2\lambda}\kappa$. Therefore the mean curvature and scalar curvature of hypersurface $\bar{x}$ are constant.

Finally we consider **Case 3,** e is timelike, i.e., $\mu^2 - 2\lambda < 0$. Then there exists a $T \in O(n+3,2)$ such that

$$\bar{e} = (-\sqrt{2\lambda - \mu^2}, \vec{0}) = eT = (N - \lambda Y - \mu\xi)T.$$

Let $\bar{x} : M^n \to \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}(1)$ be a hypersurface which its conformal position vector is $\bar{Y} = YT$, then $\bar{N} = NT, \bar{\xi} = \xi T$, and

$$\bar{e} = \bar{N} - \lambda\bar{Y} - \mu\bar{\xi}, \quad < \bar{Y}, \bar{e} >= 1, \quad < \bar{\xi}, \bar{e} >= -\mu. \tag{4.24}$$

Writing $\bar{Y} = e^{\bar{\tau}}(1, \bar{x})$, $\bar{\xi} = -\bar{H}(1, \bar{x}) + \bar{y}_{n+1}$, then from (2.14) and (4.24), we obtain that

$$e^{\bar{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda - \mu^2}}, \quad \bar{H} = \mu.$$

Since $< d\bar{x}, d\bar{x} >= (2\lambda - \mu^2)g$, so $\kappa_M = \frac{1}{2\lambda - \mu^2}\kappa$. Therefore the mean curvature and scalar curvature of hypersurface $\bar{x}$ are constant. □

Now we prove Theorem 1.1. Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a Dupin spacelike hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures. We take a local orthonormal basis $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ with respect to g such that under the basis

$$(B_{ij}) = diag(\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_1}_{k}, \underbrace{b_2, \cdots, b_2}_{n-k}).$$

Using the equation (2.9), we have

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{n}\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n-k)}{k}}, \quad b_2 = \frac{-1}{n}\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)k}{n-k}}.$$

From (3.18), we can obtain that

$$C = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

From equation (2.7), we know that $[A, B] = 0$. Thus we can take a local orthonormal basis $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ with respect to g such that under the basis

$$(B_{ij}) = diag(\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_1}_{k}, \underbrace{b_2, \cdots, b_2}_{n-k}), \quad (A_{ij}) = diag(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)). \tag{4.26}$$

Since b_1, b_2 are constant, using the covariant derivatives of (B_{ij}), (2.6) and (4.25) we can obtain

$$B_{ij,l} = 0, \quad 1 \le i, j, l \le n, \quad \omega_{i\alpha} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le k, \ k+1 \le \alpha \le n,$$

which implies that

$$R_{i\alpha i\alpha} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le k, \ k+1 \le \alpha \le n.$$

Combining the equation (2.8), we have

$$-b_1 b_2 + a_i + a_\alpha = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le k, \ k+1 \le \alpha \le n,$$

thus

$$a_1 = \cdots = a_k, \quad a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_n.$$

Using the covariant derivatives of (A_{ij}),

$$\sum_l A_{ij,l}\omega_l = dA_{ij} + \sum_l A_{il}\omega_{lj} + \sum_l A_{lj}\omega_{li},$$

we can get

$$A_{ij,\alpha} = 0, \quad A_{\alpha\beta,i} = 0, \quad 1 \le i,j \le k, \quad k+1 \le \alpha,\beta \le n. \tag{4.27}$$

Since $E_\alpha(a_1) = A_{ii,\alpha} = 0, \quad E_i(a_n) = A_{\alpha\alpha,i} = 0$, combining $b_1b_2 + a_i + a_\alpha = 0$ we know that $a_1 = \cdots = a_k, \quad a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_n$ are constant. Thus

$$(A_{ij}) = diag(\underbrace{a_1, \cdots, a_1}_{k}, \underbrace{a_2, \cdots, a_2}_{n-k}).$$

Let $\mu = \frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2}$ and $\lambda = tr(A) = ka_1 + (n-k)a_2$, then

$$A = \mu B + \lambda g.$$

From Lemma 4.1, up to a conformal transformation, we know that e^τ is constant. Combining (2.13), we know that the principal curvatures of x are constant. From the classification of spacelike isoparametric hypersurfaces (see [7, 11, 21]), up to a conformal transformation of $M_1^{n+1}(c)$, the Dupin hypersurface x is an isoparametric in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$. we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5 The proof of Theorem 1.2

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T = \sum_{ij} T_{ij}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j$ be a symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor with $r \ge 2$ distinct eigenvalues on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $F = \sum_{ijk} F_{ijk}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes \omega_k$ a symmetric $(0,3)$ tensor. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$ be the orthonormal basis, consisting of unit eigenvector of T. Under the basis, let*

$$(T_{ij}) = diag(\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_1, \rho_2, \cdots, \rho_2, \cdots, \rho_r, \cdots, \rho_r).$$

Then there does not exist the symmetric $(0,2)$ *tensor* T *satisfying* $r \geq 3$ *and*

$$c - \rho_i \rho_j = \sum_k \frac{(F_{ijk})^2}{(\rho_i - \rho_k)(\rho_j - \rho_k)}, \quad \rho_i \neq \rho_j. \tag{5.28}$$

Proof. We assume that there exists the symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor T satisfying $r \geq 3$ and equation (5.28), we will find a contradiction to prove the lemma.

We can assume that $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \cdots < \rho_r$. The equation (5.28) implies that

$$c - \rho_1\rho_2 \geq 0, \quad c - \rho_2\rho_3 \geq 0, \quad \cdots, \quad c - \rho_k\rho_{k+1} \geq 0, \quad c - \rho_{r-1}\rho_r \geq 0. \tag{5.29}$$

For fixed induce i, the matrix

$$\mathfrak{F}_{jk} := \frac{(F_{ijk})^2}{(\rho_i - \rho_k)(\rho_j - \rho_k)(\rho_i - \rho_j)}$$

is antisymmetric for indices j, k, thus

$$\sum_{j,\rho_j \neq \rho_i} \frac{c - \rho_i\rho_j}{\rho_i - \rho_j} = \sum_{j,k,\rho_j \neq \rho_i} \frac{(F_{ijk})^2}{(\rho_i - \rho_k)(\rho_j - \rho_k)(\rho_i - \rho_j)} = 0. \tag{5.30}$$

The proof of the lemma is divided into two cases: (1), $\rho_1 < 0$, (2), $\rho_1 \geq 0$.

For case (1), $\rho_1 < 0$. we have $\rho_1\rho_2 > \rho_1\rho_3 > \cdots > \rho_1\rho_r$. Combining (5.29), we have

$$c - \rho_1\rho_2 \geq 0, \quad c - \rho_1\rho_3 > 0, \quad \cdots, c - \rho_1\rho_r > 0.$$

Thus

$$\frac{c - \rho_1\rho_j}{\rho_1 - \rho_j} \leq 0, \quad \rho_j \neq \rho_1,$$

which is a contradiction with the equation (5.30) for $i = 1$.

For case (2), $\rho_1 \geq 0$. Then $\rho_r > \rho_{r-1} > \cdots > \rho_1 \geq 0$. Combining (5.29) we have $c \geq \rho_r\rho_{r-1} > \rho_r\rho_{r-2} > \cdots > \rho_r\rho_1$, that is

$$c - \rho_r\rho_{r-1} \geq 0, \quad c - \rho_r\rho_{r-1} > 0, \cdots, c - \rho_r\rho_1 > 0.$$

Thus

$$\frac{c - \rho_r\rho_j}{\rho_r - \rho_j} \geq 0, \quad \rho_j \neq \rho_r,$$

which is a contradiction with the equation (5.30) for $i = r$. Thus we finish the proof of the lemma. □

Now let M^n be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface in $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ with $r(\geq 3)$ distinct principal curvatures. If the Möbius curvatures are constant, then $C = 0$, which implies $[A, B] = 0$. Therefore we can choose a local orthonormal basis $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ with respect to the conformal metric g such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(A_{ij}) = diag(a_1, \cdots, a_n), \\ &(B_{ij}) = diag(b_1, \cdots, b_n) = diag(b_{\bar{1}}, \cdots, b_{\bar{1}}, b_{\bar{2}}, \cdots, b_{\bar{2}}, \cdots, b_{\bar{r}}, \cdots, b_{\bar{r}}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

For some i fixed, in this section we define the index set

$$[i] := \{m | b_m = b_i\}.$$

Since the conformal principal curvatures $\{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n\}$ are constant, under the basis $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$, using the covariant derivative of B, we have

$$(b_i - b_j)\omega_{ij} = \sum_k B_{ij,k}\omega_k. \tag{5.32}$$

We have the following results,

$$\begin{aligned} &B_{ij,k} = 0, \quad when \quad [i] = [j], \ or \ [j] = [k], \ or \ [i] = [k], \\ &\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{B_{ij,k}}{b_i - b_j}\omega_k = \sum_{k \notin [i],[j]} \frac{B_{ij,k}}{b_i - b_j}\omega_k, \quad when \quad [i] \neq [j]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} B_{ij,k} = 0 \text{ when } \ [i] = [j] \ \ or \ \ [i] = [k] \\ \omega_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{B_{ij,k}}{b_i - b_j}\omega_k \text{ when } \quad [i] \neq [j] \end{cases} \tag{5.34}$$

and

$$R_{ijij} = \sum_{k \notin [i],[j]} \frac{2B_{ij,k}^2}{(b_i - b_k)(b_j - b_k)} \text{ when } \quad [i] \neq [j]. \tag{5.35}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface with $r \geq 3$ distinct principal curvatures. If the Möbius conformal curvatures are constant, then the eigenvalues of the conformal tensor $\{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$ are constant.*

Proof. Since $A_{ij,k} = A_{ik,j}$, using the covariant derivative of A, we have

$$(a_i - a_j)\omega_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ij,k}\omega_k,$$

which implies, from (5.34),

$$(a_i - a_j)\frac{B_{ij,k}}{b_i - b_j} = A_{ij,k} \text{ when } \quad [i] \neq [j]. \tag{5.36}$$

Hence we know

$$E_i(a_j) = A_{jj,i} = A_{ij,j} = 0 \text{ when } \quad [i] \neq [j] \tag{5.37}$$

from $B_{ij,j} = 0$. Now to verify that a_j is a constant, we only need to prove

$$E_i(a_j) = 0, \quad i \in [j]. \tag{5.38}$$

For a fixed point $p \in M^n$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, it is either $B_{jk,l} = 0$ for all $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ or $B_{jk,l} \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq k, l \leq n$. First assume it is the second case. In fact we may assume $B_{jk,l} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of p for some j, k, l that have to be associated to three distinct conformal principal curvatures. Therefore, from (5.36), we obtain

$$\frac{a_j - a_k}{b_j - b_k} = \frac{A_{jk,l}}{B_{jk,l}} = \frac{A_{lk,j}}{B_{lk,j}} = \frac{a_l - a_k}{b_l - b_k},$$

which implies

$$a_j = (a_l - a_k)\frac{b_j - b_k}{b_l - b_k} + a_k. \tag{5.39}$$

This easily implies (5.38). Next, suppose it is the first case. If there is a sequence of point $p_i \to p$ in M^n such that the second cases happen on p_i for some $1 \leq k, l \leq n$, then (5.38) holds at p due to the continuity. Otherwise, there is an open neighborhood $U \subset M^n$ of p such that $B_{jk,l} = 0$ for all $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ in U. Therefore $R_{jkjk} = 0$ in U from (5.35). Hence, from (2.8), we derive

$$a_j = b_j b_k - a_k \text{ in } U \text{ when } k \notin [j],$$

which obviously implies (5.38). Thus the proof is complete. □

Since the eigenvalues of A are constant, immediately we know

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{ij,k}=0, \quad when \ \ a_i=a_j, \ or \ a_j=a_k, \\ &\frac{a_i-a_j}{b_i-b_j}B_{ij,k}=A_{ij,k}, \quad when \ \ [i]\neq[j]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

Particularly the third equation in (5.40) and $A_{ij,k}=A_{ik,j}$ implies

$$A_{ij,k}=0 \text{ for } [j]=[i] \text{ and } k\notin[j], \tag{5.41}$$

We define

$$V_{b_i}=Span\{E_m : m\in[i]\} \text{ or } V_{b_{\bar{k}}}=Span\{E_m : m\in[\bar{k}]\}.$$

We can change the order of the subbasis in the eigenspace $V_{b_{\bar{k}}}$ such that

$$(A_{ij})\,|_{i,j\in[\bar{k}]}=diag(\underbrace{a_{k_1},\cdots,a_{k_1}},\underbrace{a_{k_2},\cdots,a_{k_2}}\cdots,\underbrace{a_{k_m},\cdots,a_{k_m}}) \tag{5.42}$$

for $a_{k_1}<a_{k_2}<\cdots<a_{k_m}$. We then define the index sets

$$(i):=\{l\in[i]|\quad a_l=a_i\} \text{ and } (\bar{k_i}):=\{l\in[\bar{k}]|\quad a_l=a_{k_i}\}.$$

From (5.41), we have the following lemma,

Lemma 5.3. *Let $x: M^n\to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface with $r\geq 3$ distinct principal curvatures. If the Möbius curvatures are constant, Then, under the basis taken in (5.31) and (5.42), for some $[\bar{k}]$ fixed, $(i),(j)\in[\bar{k}]$ and $(i)\neq(j)$,*

$$(a_i-a_j)\omega_{ij}=\sum_{l\in[\bar{k}]}A_{ij,l}\omega_l \tag{5.43}$$

and

$$R_{ijij}=\sum_{l\in[\bar{k}],l\notin(i),(j)}\frac{2A_{ij,l}^2}{(a_i-a_l)(a_j-a_l)}. \tag{5.44}$$

More importantly we have the generalized Cartan identity for $i\in[\bar{k}]$

$$\sum_{j\in[\bar{k}],j\notin(i)}\frac{R_{ijij}}{a_i-a_j}=\sum_{j,l\in[\bar{k}],j,l\notin(i)}\frac{A_{ij,l}^2}{(a_i-a_l)(a_j-a_l)(a_i-a_j)}=0. \tag{5.45}$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $x : M^n \to M_1^{n+1}(c)$ be a spacelike Dupin hypersurface with $r \geq 3$ distinct principal curvatures. If the Möbius curvatures are constant, then $A|_{V_{b_{\bar{k}}}}$ has two distinct eigenvalues at most. Moreover*

$$b_{\bar{k}}^2 + a_{\bar{k}} + \bar{a}_{\bar{k}} = 0$$

when $A|_{V_{b_{\bar{k}}}}$ has two distinct eigenvalues $a_{\bar{k}}$ and $\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}$.

Proof. For $a_{k_1} < a_{k_2} < \cdots < a_{k_m}$ and $i \in (k_1)$ and $j \in (k_2)$, it is easily seen from (5.44) that

$$R_{ijij} = \sum_{l \in [\bar{k}], l \notin (\bar{k_1}), (\bar{k_2})} \frac{2A_{ij,l}^2}{(a_{k_1} - a_l)(a_{k_2} - a_l)} \geq 0.$$

Hence, from (2.8),

$$R_{ijij} = -b_{\bar{k}}^2 + a_i + a_j \geq -b_{\bar{k}}^2 + a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} \geq 0, \quad i, j \in [\bar{k}] \text{ and } (i) \neq (j). \tag{5.46}$$

Therefore, from the generalized Cartan identity (5.45) in Lemma 5.3, we get

$$R_{ijij} = -b_{\bar{k}}^2 + a_{k_1} + a_j = 0, \quad i \in (\bar{k_1}) \text{ and } j \in [\bar{k}], j \notin (\bar{k_1}). \tag{5.47}$$

The key of this proof is to realize that (5.47) allows us to further trim the generalized Cartan identity (5.45) for $i \in (\bar{k_2})$ into

$$\sum_{j \in [\bar{k}], j \notin (\bar{k_1}), j \notin (\bar{k_2}))} \frac{R_{ijij}}{a_{k_2} - a_j} = 0, \tag{5.48}$$

which in turn implies

$$R_{ijij} = -b_{\bar{k}}^2 + a_{k_2} + a_j = 0, \quad i \in (\bar{k_2}) \text{ and } j \in [\bar{k}], j \notin (\bar{k_2}).$$

Thus, repeating the above argument, we can get

$$R_{ijij} = -b_{\bar{k}}^2 + a_i + a_j = 0 \text{ for all } i, j \in [\bar{k}] \text{ and } (i) \neq (j), \tag{5.49}$$

which forces $m \leq 2$ and completes the proof. □

We may choose the orthonormal basis $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ such that $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{ij}) &= diag(\underbrace{b_{\bar{1}}, \cdots, b_{\bar{1}}}, \underbrace{b_{\bar{2}}, \cdots, b_{\bar{2}}}, \cdots, \underbrace{b_{\bar{r}}, \cdots, b_{\bar{r}}}), \\ (A_{ij}) &= diag(\underbrace{a_{\bar{1}}, \cdots, a_{\bar{1}}, \bar{a}_{\bar{1}}, \cdots, \bar{a}_{\bar{1}}}, \cdots, \underbrace{a_{\bar{r}}, \cdots, a_{\bar{r}}, \bar{a}_{\bar{r}}, \cdots, \bar{a}_{\bar{r}}}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

where $a_{\bar{i}}$ and $\bar{a}_{\bar{i}}$ may be same and $b_{\bar{1}} < \cdots < b_{\bar{r}}$. We then define the following two index sets

$$[i] = \{k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} |\ b_k = b_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad (i) = \{k \in [i] |\ a_k = a_i\}.$$

Let s be the number of the distinct groups of indices in the collection $\{(1), (2), \cdots, (n)\}$ and label these distinct groups of indices as $\{(\bar{1}), (\bar{2}), \cdots, (\bar{s})\}$. Clearly, we have $(i) \subseteq [i]$ and $s \geq r$. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, we consider the pair (a_i, b_i) and observe that

$$(a_i, b_i) = (a_j, b_j) \text{ if and only if } (i) = (j).$$

Hence one may write $(a_i, b_i) = (a_{(i)}, b_{(i)})$ and there are exactly s distinct pairs. Let W denote the set of all of the pairs, that is,

$$W = \{(a_{(\bar{1})}, b_{(\bar{1})}), (a_{(\bar{2})}, b_{(\bar{2})}), \cdots, (a_{(\bar{s})}, b_{(\bar{s})})\}.$$

For a number ε (including ∞) and a group (i) fixed, we define the set of pairs

$$S_{(i)}(\varepsilon) := \{(a_k, b_k) \in W |\ \frac{a_i - a_k}{b_i - b_k} = \varepsilon,\ k \notin (i)\} \bigcup \{(a_{(i)}, b_{(i)})\}.$$

From Lemma 5.4 and the above definition of $S_{(i)}(\varepsilon)$, it is easy to verify the following properties:

Lemma 5.5. *Under the basis taken in (5.50). For a fixed index set (i), the following hold:*

(1) $S_{(i)}(\infty)$ can have at most two pairs;

(2) For two non-empty sets $S_{(i)}(\varepsilon_k), S_{(i)}(\varepsilon_l)$ and $\varepsilon_k \neq \varepsilon_l$, $S_{(i)}(\varepsilon_k) \cap S_{(i)}(\varepsilon_l) = \{(a_{(i)}, b_{(i)})\}$;

(3) If the set $S_{(i)}(\varepsilon) = \{(a_{(i)}, b_{(i)}), (a_{(j)}, b_{(j)})\}$ for $j \notin (i)$, then

$$R_{klkl} = -b_i b_j + a_i + a_j = 0 \text{ for all } k \in (i) \text{ and } l \in (j). \tag{5.51}$$

Proof. These properties are all trivial except (3). It suffices to show that $B_{kl,m} = 0$ for all $m = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ when $k \in (i)$ and $l \in (j)$. The nontrivial cases are $k \in (i) \subset [i]$, $l \notin [i]$ and $m \notin [i] \cup [l]$. Hence, from the third equation in (5.40), we would have

$$\frac{a_m - a_k}{b_m - b_k} = \frac{A_{km,l}}{B_{km,l}} = \frac{A_{lk,m}}{B_{lk,m}} = \frac{a_l - a_k}{b_l - b_k}$$

if $B_{kl,m} = B_{km,l}$ were not vanishing. That would imply $(a_m, b_m) \in S_{(i)}(\varepsilon)$ and a contradiction to assumption that $S_{(i)}(\varepsilon)$ has only two pairs. Thus the proof is complete. □

Lemma 5.6. *Under the basis taken in (5.50). Then any set*

$$S_{(k)}(\varepsilon) = \{(a_{i_1}, b_{i_1}), (a_{i_2}, b_{i_2}), \cdots .(a_{i_t}, b_{i_t})\}$$

has only two pairs, that is $t = 2$.

Proof. For $(a_i, b_i), (a_j, b_j) \in S_{(k_1)}(\varepsilon)$, we have $\frac{a_i - a_j}{b_i - b_j} = \varepsilon$, thus there exist constant d such that

$$a_i = \varepsilon b_i + d, \quad (a_i, b_i) \in S_{(k_1)}(\varepsilon).$$

Let $\tilde{b}_i = b_i + \varepsilon$. From (2.8) and (5.35), we have

$$R_{ijij} = 2\sum_k \frac{(B_{ij,k})^2}{(\tilde{b}_i - \tilde{b}_k)(\tilde{b}_j - \tilde{b}_k)} = 2d + \varepsilon^2 - \tilde{b}_i\tilde{b}_j = c - \tilde{b}_i\tilde{b}_j. \tag{5.52}$$

The equation (5.52) implies that the tensor $B + \varepsilon g$ satisfying (5.28). If $t \geq 3$, from lemma 5.1, we derive to a contradiction. Thus $t = 2$. □

Next we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. From lemma 5.5 and lemma 5.6, we know that

$$R_{ijij} = 0, \ b_i \neq b_j. \tag{5.53}$$

From (5.35) we therefore observe that

$$B_{ij,k} = 0, \quad when \quad i \in [\bar{1}], \quad j \in [\bar{2}], \ 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

We then consider $i \in [\bar{1}]$ and $j \in [\bar{3}]$ in equation (5.35). This time we notice that

$$(b_k - b_{\bar{1}})(b_k - b_{\bar{3}}) > 0, \quad when \quad k \notin [\bar{1}] \cup [\bar{2}] \cup [\bar{3}]$$

and $B_{ij,k} = 0, \ i \in [\bar{1}], \ k \in [\bar{2}]$. From (5.35) again we observe that

$$B_{ij,k} = 0, \quad when \quad i \in [\bar{1}], \quad j \in [\bar{2}] \cup [\bar{3}], \ 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Repeatedly we can prove that $B_{ij,k} = 0$ for $i \in [\bar{1}]$ and $j \in [\bar{2}] \cup [\bar{3}] \cdots \cup [\bar{r}]$. Similarly we can prove $B_{ij,k} = 0$ for all indices, thus B is parallel.

Claim 1: $r = 3$.

We assume that $r > 3$, we can take four distinct conformal principal curvatures b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4. Using (5.53) and (2.8), we have

$$-b_1b_2 + a_1 + a_2 = -b_1b_3 + a_1 + a + 3 = -b_2b_4 + a_2 + a_4 = -b_3b_4 + a_3 + a_4 = 0,$$

which implies $(b_1 - b_4)(b_2 - b_3) = 0$. This is a contradiction.

From (5.53), we have $a_i = a_j, \quad [i] = [j]$ and

$$-b_1b_2 + a_1 + a_2 = 0, \quad -b_1b_3 + a_1 + a_3 = 0, \quad -b_2b_3 + a_2 + a_3 = 0.$$

Thus we can get

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 - b_2b_3), \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(b_1b_2 + b_2b_3 - b_1b_3), \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}(b_3b_2 + b_1b_3 - b_1b_2).$$

Since B is parallel, using the definition of the covariant derivatives of (B_{ij}), we have

$$\omega_{ij} = 0, \quad b_i \neq b_j, \tag{5.54}$$

which implies

$$d\omega_i = \sum_{j\in[i]} \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j.$$

Therefore the eigenspaces V_{b_1}, V_{b_2} and V_{b_3} are integrable. Locally we can write

$$M^n = M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3.$$

Let $[b_i] = \{k|b_k = b_i\}$, and

$$g_1 = \sum_i \omega_i^2, \ i \in [b_1], \quad g_2 = \sum_i \omega_i^2, \ i \in [b_2], \quad g_3 = \sum_i \omega_i^2, \ i \in [b_3].$$

Then we have

$$(M^n, g) = (M_1, g_1) \times (M_2, g_2) \times (M_3, g_3).$$

If $dimM_i \geq 2$, then (M_i, g_i) is of constant curvature. Like as the proof in [6], we know that M^n is conformally equivalent to the hypersurface given by example 3.4.

Acknowledgements: Authors are supported by the grant No. 11571037 and No. 11471021 of NSFC. And authors would like to thank Professor ChangPing Wang for his encouragements and helps.

References

[1] Cahen M., Kerbrat Y., *Domaines symmétriques des quadriques projectives*, J. Math. Pure Appl., 62(1983)327-348.

[2] Cecil T., Chi Q.S., Jensen G., *Dupin hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures. II,* Geom. Dedicata 128, (2007), 55-95.

[3] Cecil T., Jensen G., *Dupin hypersurfaces with three principal curvatures,* Invent. Math., 132, (1998), 121-178.

[4] Cecil T., Jensen G., *Dupin hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures,* Geom. Dedicata 79, (2000), 1-49.

[5] M.P.Dussan and M.Magid, *Conformally flat Lorentz hypersurfaces in the conformal compactification of Lorentz space*, J. Geom. Phys., 57(2007)2466-2482.

[6] Z.J.Hu, H.Li, *Classification of hypersurfaces with parallel Möbius second fundamental form in* S^{n+1}, Sci.China Ser.A, 47(2004),417-430.

[7] Zhenqi Li, Xian hua Xie, *Spacelike isoparametric hypersurfaces in Lorentzian space form*, Front.Math.China, 1 (2006) 130-137.

[8] Nomizu K., *On isoparametric hypersurfaces in the Lorentzian space forms*, Japan. J. Math., 7(1),(1981)217-226.

[9] H. Li, H. L. Liu, C. P. Wang, and G. S. Zhao, *Möbius isoparametric hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ *with two distinct principal curvatures*, Acta Math. Sin (Engl.Ser), 18(2002) 437-446.

[10] H.Li and C.P.Wang, *Möbius geometry of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature and scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math., 112(2003)1-13.

[11] M. Magid,*Lorentzian isoparametric hypersurface*, Pacific J. Math., 118(1) (1985) 437-446.

[12] Miyaoka R., *Compact Dupin hypersurfaces with three principal curvatures,* Math. Z., 187, (1984), 433-452.

[13] Miyaoka R., *Dupin hypersurfaces and a Lie invariant,* Kodai Math. J., 12, (1989), 228-256.

[14] Miyaoka R., *Dupin hypersurfaces with six principal curvatures,* Kodai Math. J., 12, (1989), 308-315.

[15] O'Neil B., *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York(1983).

[16] Pinkall U., *Dupinsche Hyperflachen in E^4*, Manuscripta Math., 51, (1985), 89-119.

[17] Pinkall U., *Dupin hypersurfaces*, Math. Ann., 270, (1985), 427-440.

[18] Pinkall U., Thorbergsson G., *Deformations of Dupin hypersurfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10, (1989), 1037-1043.

[19] Thorbergsson G., *Dupin hypersurfaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 15, (1983), 493-498.

[20] C. P. Wang, *Möbius geometry of submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^n$*, Manuscripta Math. 96(1998)517-534.

[21] L. Xiao, *Lorentzian isoparametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{H}_1^{n+1}$*, Pacific J. Math., 189(1999)377-397.